From Humiliation to Dignity: The Power of Spirituality to Inspire System-Changing Creativity

Evelin Lindner
Medical Doctor and Psychologist
Dr. med., Dr. psychol.
Founding President of Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies (HumanDHS) and
Co-Founder of the World Dignity University Initiative
October 2022

The author thanks His Royal Highness Prince El Hassan bin Talal and Dr. Renée Hattar for welcoming her as visiting scholar to the Royal Institute for Inter-Faith Studies in Amman, Jordan, from September to December 2022¹

Abstract

This article puts forth the argument that the times of crises in which we live are so enormous that spirituality needs to inspire forms of creativity that are more far-reaching than hitherto considered. We live in times of *ecocide* and *sociocide* — the destruction of our *ecosphere* and our *sociosphere*. If humanity wishes to leave this path, or at least to mitigate it, it is vital to prevent *cogitocide*, the degradation of our *cogitosphere*, of our sphere of thinking. For the cogitosphere to be healed, more is needed than placing calls for peace, justice, or charity within existing mindsets and institutional frames. Much more ground-breaking and innovative creativity is needed, a creativity that starts with deeper questioning, including the questioning of our own assumptions.

This article therefore places question marks with concepts that at first glance seem to have little significance for ecocide and sociocide, such as 'job', 'leisure', 'work-life balance', 'income', 'poverty', 'charity', 'professionality', 'business', 'consumer', 'monetisation', 'education', 'national sovereignty', 'church', 'temple', 'mosque', 'worship', 'meditation', 'prayer'.

The article begins by presenting the work of anthropologist Alan Page Fiske and his four models of sociality. It proceeds with inscribing the concept of dignity into these four models, highlighting the advantages of the first model. The article then offers as a case study the author's personal experience. Her life project of many decades combines spirituality and creativity with the aim to live *one comprehensive* life rather than a fragmented life, and this in service of a spirituality of dignity that overarches and connects all faiths, that heals cycles of humiliation of the past and prevents new ones from occurring. Planet Earth is the common good of all living beings and could be seen as humanity's true church, temple, mosque, sanctuary, and university, where everyone's livelihood ought to be in harmony with the planet's carrying capacity.

The article formulates a planetary call addressed to all citizens of the world to live life as a prayer, to live it as a meditation on global unity in diversity, in fulfilment of what could be called 'the divinity of love'.

Contents

Introduction: We live in challenging times	2
The four basic ways in which people can collaborate	3
Possible definitions of dignity	4
Communal sharing and dignity	4
Authority ranking and dignity	4
Equality matching and dignity	5
Market pricing and dignity	5
The historical trajectory	6
Kama muta, 'moved to love', as the foundation of dignity	7
Dignity as spiritual practice: A personal case study	8
Conclusion	10
References	11

Introduction: We live in challenging times

We live in a world of polycrises — we see the erosion of our relationships alongside the wearing down of our habitat, planet Earth. In the face of this grave situation, this article suggests that a form of creativity is needed that follows the 'father' of *deep ecology* philosopher Arne Næss and his call for *deeper questioning*. Næss encourages us to continue asking questions where we previously stopped asking, and he would agree with the advice of this article that it is not enough to call for peace, justice, or more charitable empathy, while leaving conditions unquestioned that cause polycrises systemically.

The Levante is particularly affected by these polycrises. 'West Asia-North Africa is in a race against time. Within the next thirty years, increasing air pollution and climate change-induced heatwaves and droughts will push the region closer to uninhabitability, triggering unprecedented levels of human insecurity and large-scale displacement'. This was the introduction to a conversation titled 'Winning the Human Race Against Time' that was held on 28th June 2022 between the former head of the Club of Rome, His Royal Highness Prince El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan, and Mark Green, the President of the Wilson Center, a think tank based in Washington, DC, United States that informs public policy.

The erosion of our *sociosphere*, of our relationships with each other on this globe could be encapsulated by the term *sociocide*, while the wearing down of our *ecosphere* amounts to *ecocide*. The suffix *-cide* means 'act of killing', and stems from Latin *-cida* and the verb *caedo*, *caedes*, *caedere*, *caedi*, *caedum*. Words such as suicide or pesticide end on *-cide*.

Sociocide erodes the social fabric that connects people, it undermines the cohesive 'glue' that keeps human communities together, both locally and globally. The symptoms range from individual micro-aggressions, loneliness, and depression at the micro levels to large-scale conflicts and wars at the macro level. Ecocide refers to the destruction of the ecosphere, the ecological realm that all living beings depend on, a destruction caused by us humans, because in our hubris, we mistake ourselves to be our ecosphere's masters. Sociocide and ecocide, the breakdown of social cohesion and the degradation of the natural environment, very often drives and is driven by long-lasting systemic humiliation — humiliation solidified into a systemic structure — South Africa's apartheid can serve as one example.

Prince El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan suggests that ecocide and sociocide are facilitated by the same underlying catalyst, namely, *cogitocide*. Cogito comes from *cogitare* in Latin, 'to think', and *cogitocide* means the killing of our *cogitosphere*, the killing of 'the realm of thinking and reflection'. The result is what El Hassan bin Talal calls *infoterror* and *infowar*, or what cultural theorist Paul Virilio describes as the 'aesthetics of disappearance'. Philosopher Elizabeth Minnich, a student of Hannah Arendt, has paraphrased Arendt's phrase 'banality of evil', suggesting that thoughtlessness causes the 'evil of banality'. I propose to speak of cogitocide as the 'weapon' of choice for whoever wants to maintain systems of humiliation.

The article begins by presenting the work of anthropologist Alan Page Fiske and his four models of sociality. ¹⁰ It proceeds with inscribing the concept of dignity into these four models, including humiliation as the violation of dignity, highlighting the advantages of the first model. The author then presents her personal path and the lessons she has learned. The article concludes with a plea for transformative global change, so that humanity may look forward to a future defined by dignity for all.

The four basic ways in which people can collaborate¹¹

Anthropologist Alan Page Fiske has studied many societies and communities around the world and has developed a theory of meta-relational models. He suggests that people, most of the time and in all cultures, use just four elementary and universal forms or models for organising most aspects of sociality. Fiske calls the first model *communal sharing (CS)*, a model that is realised when people structure interaction according to what they have *in common*. The second model is *authority ranking (AR)*, manifested when people establish *ordered differences*. *Additive imbalances* characterise the third model, called *equality matching (EM)*, while the fourth model follows *ratios* or what Fiske calls *market pricing (MP)*. These four social models are in line with the four scales of measurement, *nominal*, *ordinal*, *interval*, and *ratio*, whereby the first is the most comprehensive and qualitative, while the last is the most quantitative and least comprehensive. The suggests of the suggests of

As I explain in my book on global solidarity, ¹⁶ Alan Page Fiske shows that people use these four modes of coordination to organise nearly every aspect of all social domains, even the most mundane ones. When we invite friends to dinner, for example, we follow these four models — we may share the food and drink without calculating who gets how much (CS), a host may ask others to provide the meal (AR), the guests might feel obligated to reciprocate the invitation (EM), and the host may decide whether it is economically worthwhile to provide the food (MP). ¹⁷ Whenever a group or a dyad interacts, 'they can seek a consensus of the group as a whole, the chief can decide (and delegate minor aspects of the decision), people can vote, or they can use a market mechanism based on utilities or prices'. ¹⁸ In general, in the face of any task, people 'can all simply pitch in without assigning individual responsibilities, an authority can give orders down a chain of command, everyone can do an equal share (or take turns), or participants can be compensated in proportion to the amount they each complete'. ¹⁹

Moral judgement follows similar paths. 'Treat each person's needs and suffering as your own, do what the gods or your elders command, treat each person equally, or give every person their due in proportion to what they deserve'.²⁰ When people transfer goods or services, 'they can give a gift without expecting any specific return; they can pay tribute in fealty to a superior (or, inversely, bestow a benefit to a subordinate as a gesture of largesse); they can make a balanced, quid-pro-quo exchange; or they can sell and purchase at market rates'.²¹ The social meanings of land are inscribed in this logic as well, as land can be regarded as a shared commons, or as the domain or fief of a lord, or as a marker of equal status (such as eligibility to vote), or as a commercial investment.²²

Various subfields of psychology, sociology, political science, economics, and cultural anthropology have independently developed similar concepts. Fiske writes that 'Freudian theorists and cultural anthropologists came right to the verge of recognising that people have directively potent culturally informed cognitive models of configurations of relationships'.²³

Possible definitions of dignity

Dignity has been written into many national constitutions throughout the past decades and it is being yearned for by a rising number of people all around the globe.²⁴ The Treaty of Lisbon, for instance, which forms the constitutional basis of the European Union (EU), stipulates that 'Human dignity is inviolable. It must be respected and protected'.²⁵ On 18th October 2019, Chile 'exploded as it had never done, unleashing social energy accumulated by decades of injustice and abuse summed up in two words: inequality and dignity'.²⁶

His Royal Highness Prince El Hassan bin Talal, as Chairman of the Royal Institute for Inter-Faith Studies in Amman, Jordan, places 'human dignity' at the top of his list of priorities.²⁷ Indeed, I suggest that speaking about dignity provides the very common ground for inter-faith dialogue to succeed.

In my work, I build on Alan Page Fiske's system to differentiate possible definitions of dignity and determine which definition may be most useful to address cogitocide, sociocide, and ecocide. The following sections are adapted from my book on global solidarity.²⁸

Communal sharing and dignity

Communal Sharing (CS) — or *mutuality*, as relational psychologist Linda Hartling prefers to call it²⁹ — is the most comprehensive, qualitative, and least humiliating frame of social life. This is what is commonly understood as 'good family life' — all members give what they can and receive what they need,³⁰ so that strong connective relationships can emerge and foster the unity of 'all for one, and one for all'.³¹ Differences do not divide in such a context, they strengthen the family, because everyone counts, everyone develops a feeling for what others need and everyone shares the responsibility of offering whatever support they can give. Unconditional 'giving forward' from the heart is the norm, coming from a place of loving generosity, beyond any quantity calculations, beyond any tit-for-tat requirements or material incentives. Coercion is as counterproductive in such a context as quantity calculations — a love-based marriage, for instance, needs trust and true intimacy to prosper, coercion destroys love. Communal sharing is therefore the realm of *Homo amans*, the loving being.³²

Defining dignity in a context of CS means that all individuals in a group connect in solidarity and care. Dignity is protected when all people cooperate with each other in creating unity in equal dignity for all in their diversity. No one is being humiliated and forced to bow their heads down, the inherent human desire 'to walk upright' in humble pride is being honoured by all. Discourse analyst Michael Karlberg calls this definition the 'social body frame of dignity'.³³

Authority ranking and dignity

Authority Ranking (AR) describes ordered differences involving asymmetry among people, ranking them along vertical social dimensions. Benevolent *Homo amans* leaders strive to meet everyone's needs and nurture the spirit of communal sharing by encouraging everyone to give 'what they can' and to ask for no more than 'what they need'. Less benevolent leaders — *Homo dominans* — in contrast, maintain asymmetry by taking more than what they need and use manipulation and systems of routine humiliation to force subordinates to exhaust themselves beyond what they can give. Linda Hartling's mentor Jean Baker Miller would describe the first type of AR as *temporary inequality* and the second as *permanent*

inequality.³⁴

Throughout the past millennia, the *dominator model of society*, as theorised by social scientist Riane Eisler, ³⁵ was prevalent all around the globe. In that context, one could count oneself lucky if leaders happened to be benevolent *Homo amans* who treated others with respect. A wife would not question her husband's right to beat her, she only counted herself lucky if she was not beaten.

The concept of dignity in an AR context will follow this dichotomy insofar as a benevolent authority will respect every community member as equal in dignity and worthiness — a nurturant teacher, for instance, will foster the personal growth of all students. A malevolent authority, on the other side, an oppressive dictator or tyrannical teacher, will rank people's worthiness in 'lesser' and 'higher' and look down on supposedly lesser beings. 'Dignitaries' will feel entitled to more 'honourable decorum' than others. Michael Karlberg's 'social command frame of dignity' has its place here. ³⁶

Equality matching and dignity

Equality Matching (EM) points at additive differences and equivalent exchanges, implying a balance of taking turns. Examples are carpools or babysitting cooperatives. Wherever equality matching is given priority in a society, people will offer products or services only under the condition that they can expect a return that is equivalent. A person in need cannot count on help unless she can give something back.

In such a context, the generosity of unconditional communal sharing is discredited as foolish or even traitorous. People who are willing to offer unconditional support will be disparaged as spoilers of fairness rules, whereby fairness is defined as prescribing that people should pledge support only after others have offered theirs. Offering gifts without expecting reciprocity is regarded with suspicion. The selfless care work that mothers provide to their children diminishes the value attributed to maternal caregiving rather than enhancing it, being considered 'worthless' in monetary terms. Even notions such as hope, or optimism are often made dependent on the probability of reasonable returns in the future.

In an EM context, dignity is linked to strict cost-benefit calculations. Dignity is regarded as something that can be earned through offering products and services or through consuming them. 'The self' is seen as a territory that is separated from its surroundings through contractual borders that are to be continuously guarded against possible infringements from unfair contracts. Infringements are typically felt to be humiliating and can set off cycles of humiliation and violence. Michael Karlberg's 'social contest frame of dignity' is operative in this context.

Market pricing and dignity

Market Pricing (MP) is a model of ratio and proportion. It is the narrowest, most quantitative frame. Markets only value what is priced, and they only work for those who can pay.³⁷ Every person, and all of their activities, become monetisable commodities. 'No monetisability' translates into 'no existence'. Everything becomes a monetised business, even education, where investors target students as 'clients' and 'consumers'. The concept of professionality, with its requirement to separate the personal from the professional, has its place here. 'Job' has to be kept apart from 'private life', people in the public sphere are to be treated as cogs in a monetised cog wheel rather than as fellow human beings. People with high income are lucky enough to take 'vacation' from this kind of professionality by what is called work-life balance, while those living in poverty are left to hope for charity.

As to dignity, in a MP context, it is tied to arithmetical calculations in terms of money and one's ability to acquire or spend it. Dignity is conceptualised as individual autonomy in markets that are structured along the lines of competition for domination. Both EM and MP

are arenas for *Homo oeconomicus*, the calculating human being. Both EM and MP models are the potentially most destructive and humiliating frames that legitimise the 'social contest frame of dignity' described by Michael Karlberg.³⁸

The historical trajectory

When we look at longer historical trends, then we can deduce that during the longest period of *Homo sapiens sapiens*' history, the period prior to the Neolithic Revolution, our ancestors were foragers who did not 'go to work' — they had 'a life'.³⁹ They manifested *cooperative companionship* in line with the CS model, thus representing the most comprehensive way of being on this planet. They lived in communion with nature and approached life on this planet with dignifying humility, while applying the seven-generation sustainability rule.⁴⁰ In the last three percent of human history, very roughly, a period emerged where the dominator model of society prevailed globally. During this time, powerful individuals utilised practices and systems of humiliation to maintain hierarchical structures, thus manifesting the most ruthless versions of authority ranking.

Nowadays, many hope that market-pricing model of sociality, with its mere *quantity* calculations, can act as a liberator from the dominator model of society. The outcome, however, is the opposite. As every aspect of life is moves away from communal sharing into the least comprehensive way of being, the fullness of the *quality* of life is lost. The Indigenous *cooperative companionship* is being turned into *competitive detachment*, as indigenous psychologist Darcia Narváez would describe it, ⁴¹ with the result that the *conquistador mindset* comes back. ⁴² As theorist Isaiah Berlin formulated it, 'Freedom for the wolves has often meant death to the sheep'. ⁴³

We can place the concepts of many other thinkers into Fiske's model. For instance, the *substantivist* model described by political economist Karl Polanyi offers space for *Homo amans* to unfold, while the *formalist* economic model gives preference to *Homo oeconomicus et dominans*. ⁴⁴ Economist Peter Kropotkin, whose work enjoys a comeback now, thought that a society that is sufficiently developed could build economical systems based entirely on the communal concept of mutual exchanges and voluntary cooperation. ⁴⁵ Communal sharing overlaps with the notion of *Gemeinschaft* (community) more than with that of *Gesellschaft* (society), ⁴⁶ and it resonates with the African philosophy of *ubuntu* more than with Western individualism. ⁴⁷

If driven to extremes and not contained, the outcome of MP is the stripping of everyone's basic decency, in terms of 'the survival and security of persons, including adequate shelter, access to food and water ... and free movement'. In economist Ernst Friedrich Schumacher's words, 'In a sense, the market is the institutionalisation of individualism and non-responsibility'. 49

Whenever *homo dominans* and *homo oeconomicus* join up and engage in competition for domination, extreme forms of sociocide and ecocide ensue, from corruption to environmental degradation. When also national sovereignty is defended by competition for domination, war is not far.

Communal sharing, in combination with the benevolent version of authority ranking, appears to be the only way to protect the dignity of the human family in the global village in the long term. We need wise teachers who explain that communal sharing is the space in which the dignity of *Homo amans* can unfold, the 'loving being',⁵⁰ or better, *Homo amans relationalis*, the 'loving relational being', or *Homo solidaricus*, the 'solidary person'.⁵¹ It is the space where the foundational sentence of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that was adopted on 10th December 1948 can be realised, 'All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights'. It is the realm of wisdom, be it religious or secular.

Many examples from religious or philosophical scriptures could be listed here, one among

many is philosopher Martin Buber's emphasis on I-Thou dialogue rather than I-It instrumentalisation.⁵²

The only way forward for the world community seems to be to give priority to communal sharing and benevolent versions of authority ranking, to maximise their space, and to demote equality matching and market pricing to the role of servants. Never should life be sacrificed for power or profit.

Kama muta, 'moved to love', as the foundation of dignity

In my work, I describe equal dignity as a lived experience that cannot be defined along the line of laws of nature like in physics, it cannot be quantified. Equal dignity is a visceral embodied sense of standing tall and maintaining one's head high, on par with everyone else. Equal dignity could be described as an 'orthopaedic challenge', as the art of walking upright, ⁵³ as a posture, a *Haltung*, in German. ⁵⁴ It is a posture that avoids looking up to others from humiliated inferiority as much as it avoids looking down on others from arrogant superiority. It is a posture that manifests dignified humility or humble pride. 55 Equal dignity means interacting with others as equals, in mutual respect and dignity, rather than feeling humiliated and acting submissive or feeling entitled to superiority and displaying haughty arrogance. The idea is to engage with fellow human beings on an equal footing, without demeaning oneself or looking down on others.⁵⁶

Equal dignity means leaving behind both the toleration of inferiority and the arrogation of superiority. Equal dignity encourages those in subordinate positions to recognise that they no longer need to endure humiliation passively. Simultaneously, it requires those in positions of superiority to relinquish their claims to absolute supremacy, it explains to them that humiliation no longer is a legitimate means to subjugate others to maintain hierarchy.

For equal dignity to manifest, equality is not enough. Human rights ideals represent more than just an invitation to hold heads up so that all are equals. These ideals invite everyone to also open their arms and offer respectful solidarity to others. Nobody should stick their elbows out in divisive competition, even if they were equals, just as much as nobody should smother others with choking embraces. Everyone is invited to protect unity in diversity by avoiding hostile division as much as suffocating uniformity. All are invited to meet in the middle between top and bottom, at the level of equal dignity in shared humility and solidarity, so that all can lovingly co-create a decent future together.⁵⁷

Everyone is called on to 'be moved by love' into what Alan Page Fiske calls communal sharing. In English, people speak of 'being moved, touched, or overwhelmed with emotion, having a heart-warming, tear-jerking, or poignant experience, feeling nostalgia or sweet sorrow, and the rapture of divine love'. 58 The group around Fiske explored the emotion that is common to these experiences, and to avoid the ambiguity and unwanted connotations of vernacular terms as they vary across languages, they chose the phrase kama muta as a scientific term for 'being moved by love'. Based on Fiske's relational models theory, the kama muta framework posits 'that being moved induces communal sharing and increases feelings of warmth', explaining that 'being moved is a culturally dependent positive affective state that often includes tears, goose bumps or chills, and informs the experiencing, engaging, reinforcement, and building of communal sharing relationships'.⁵⁹

Overall, within my work, I define dignity as the capacity to stand tall with open arms, 'moved by love', warmly embracing others and inviting them into shared responsibility for unity amidst diversity, viewing everyone as equally valuable. I perceive equal dignity as an inherent asset that applies universally, regardless of its diverse manifestations among individuals and in various cultural settings.⁶⁰ I call for 'respect for the equality in dignity of all individuals as free persons, free to engage in loving dialogue and responsible mutual solidarity with each other and with humanity's ecological foundations, not free to humiliate people or deplete the planet'.61

Co-creating a decent future means liberating all living beings on the planet from all global and local frames that are undignifying, be they cultural, political, or economic.

Dignity as spiritual practice: A personal case study

As I explain in my book on global solidarity,⁶² my family's traumatic experiences of war and expulsion⁶³ taught me to embrace dignity as my spiritual practice, to consider the planet as my church, my temple, and my mosque, and to live my life as a prayer and a meditation on the concept of unity in diversity. When asked about my religion, my answer is always, 'My religion is love, humility, and a deep sense of awe for a universe that is so much larger than what we as humans can fathom'. In this manner, I feel at home in all traditions of wisdom that humanity has ever seen, be they religious or otherwise.

My practice of dignity is my very own creative spirituality — it is a practice of being humbly and caringly embedded in the world. Since I was a child, my personal intuition led me to want to embrace unconditional 'giving forward'. I felt called to offer my talents and resources as an unconditional gift of love to humanity, as my contribution to a dignified future of humankind, without expecting any reward. My experimentations with this practice showed me that, indeed, this is the most fulfilling and meaningful way of living.

To my dismay, I noticed that I soon shared the fate of all those care workers who experience that their efforts are being belittled as nice but negligible, as a 'soft' and rather irrelevant occupation for women. It is the legacy of the past millennia's dominator societies that 'real men' are still expected to invest their creativity into developing 'hard' solutions, including ever new weapons for war, and if they worshipped divinity, they preferred 'hard' interpretations that justified competition for domination.

Initially, when I was accused of simple-minded naïveté or even foolishness, I lacked justifications to defend myself. I was therefore delighted when I understood how much my personal path resonated with Fiske's concept of communal sharing, which, in turn, relates to Indigenous economic theory. ⁶⁴ Fiske's insights helped me to stand by my own intuitions and be proud of them. After all, competition for domination is dysfunctional on a finite planet that is globally interconnected and whose resources are overstretched. It is not my fault that too few people have understood that reality has changed and that the human condition has a new foundation, to which humanity ought to adapt.

Fiske's model helped me understand where people come from when they misunderstand my path, why some feel even provoked by it. Some condemn my choices as counterintuitive and paradox — how can rewardless life be rewarding, they ask. A 'sober' person, they say, invests into the future of humanity only if there are justified expectations of reasonable returns, at least at the personal level, for instance, through monetary gains. In case there is no such prospect, why should one care? Many find it dubious that I nurture dignity even though I do not profit personally, and some add that this is doubly foolish in a situation where humanity may be doomed.

Fiske's model made me understand why so many people ask, 'Who pays for what Evelin does?' 'How come that Evelin with her two doctorates is unable to monetise her efforts?' Some suspect that I at least aspire to receive 'points' for dutiful behaviour as a footnote in future history books or in heaven. I always explain that I am uninterested in such points, and that the reason for why I refrain from 'monetisation' is to resist the erosion of moral values through market interactions.⁶⁵

People who are ensconced in pockets of affluence — those insulated to some extent from global upheavals through a steady income — sometimes express irritations at my choice to relinquish their privileges voluntarily. Sometimes, this also includes my academic colleagues with tenured positions in established institutions. They perceive their privileges as earned

through hard work within contracts tied to financial gain, and some feel annoyed when I place my personal life at risk by eschewing such contracts. ⁶⁶ I labour twice as hard for my commitment to communal sharing, solidarity, conviviality, gift economy, and the revival of Palaeolithic lifestyles, and they see it as overly idealistic endeavour that carries the potential of undermining their sense of entitlement. As author Upton Sinclair once noted, 'it is difficult to get a man to understand something, when his salary depends upon his not understanding it!'⁶⁷

Others accuse me of engaging in a form of moral extortion, suspecting that I want to entertain a sense of moral superiority and use my life choices to make them feel ashamed of their own selfishness. Others are so deeply entrenched in the mindset of hierarchical authority that they cannot comprehend my perspective, which is more akin to that of a gardener — someone who nurtures and inspires, rather than commands and controls. They struggle to grasp the idea that gardening may be the best metaphor to understand the true nature of dignity and a meaningful life. ⁶⁸

People who respond to my life choices in a condescending manner often come from mindsets rooted in models of human behaviour and social interaction that Fiske would call equality matching or market pricing. They tend to view the *Homo economicus* model, which portrays humans as purely self-interested rational actors, as the only valid framework for understanding human nature, including concepts such as human sanity and dignity. They believe that embracing market pricing reflects rational thinking and secures mental wellbeing and moral integrity. I observe a sense of pride among many of them, pride to be part of 'modernity'. They celebrate having transcended the supposedly barbaric past of 'primitive' egalitarian communal sharing, in their belief that market pricing is a path to 'effectively and fairly' exchanging goods and services. I thoroughly understand that they feel personally hurt or even humiliated when I question this proud narrative of market pricing representing progress. If market pricing truly represented advancement, one could reasonably expect the world to be in a more stable and prosperous state, I argue, rather than grappling with widespread crises and challenges. The prevalence of global challenges raises doubts about the merits of market pricing as a progressive force. Contrary to the perception that communal sharing is 'primitive', I propose that it represents a rational form of being-in-the-world — one that warrants reconsideration and revitalisation rather than disparagement.⁶⁹

While some misattribute my value choices and hard work as some form of concealed selfishness or moral arrogance, others write them off as psychological weakness. The kinder among my critics have pity with me when they see how difficult it is to maintain my life choices. When they advise me to take up a mainstream 'job', I explain that I exhaust myself — living beyond the notion of 'job' is indeed exhausting — precisely to save their future and the future of their children. I am not a drop-out, I am a drop-in, I drop into a gift economy, an economy of small gifts from the heart that are given with ethical intentions.

I usually explain that I wish to have a *life*, not a *job*. ⁷⁰ Philosopher Immanuel Kant once said that 'whatever has a price can be replaced by something else as its equivalent; on the other hand, whatever is above all price, and therefore admits of no equivalent, has dignity', in other words, 'everything has either a price or a dignity'. ⁷¹ I have studied economics sufficiently to know that society would be better off if it organised itself in ways that livelihood would not depend on the concept of a paid 'job'. ⁷²

Being an artist at heart, I sometimes describe my life design as a *social sculpture*.⁷³ This is my way to express creativity.⁷⁴ My work does not represent a rejection of the achievements of others, rather, I see my work as a necessary evolutionary step towards new forms of progress, progress that ensures a sustainable future for all. My efforts represent a radically innovative form of innovation, one aimed at tackling the unprecedented challenges of modernity. By highlighting limitations of insular privilege, and explaining my work as

innovative progress, I attempt to bridge the gap with those in affluent bubbles who resist my message.

I see Western market-driven hyper-individualistic consumerism as a deeply wounding human experiment — I resonate with the conclusion that philosopher Thomas Pogge draws, namely, that 'our generation is doing worse than any in human history' given the opportunities we had and left unused.⁷⁵ Sociologists Pierre Bourdieu and Jean-Claude Passeron accused 'dysfunctional educations' of reproducing dysfunctional systems⁷⁶ that block change for the better at all levels, 'at the levels of psychology, therapy, spirituality, religion, science, philosophy and education'.⁷⁷

In the face of 'dysfunctional educations', I embarked on devising the idea of a World Dignity University.⁷⁸

Conclusion

All human beings are members of one frame, Since all, at first, from the same essence came.

When time afflicts a limb with pain
The other limbs at rest cannot remain.

If thou feel not for other's misery
A human being is no name for thee.

— Inscription at the entrance of the general assembly hall in the United Nations building, by Persian poet Sa'dī Shīrāzī (1210–1291/1292)⁷⁹

Philosopher Arne Næss would agree with the conclusion of this article that *ecocide* and *sociocide* cannot be addressed by the same economic frames that caused it, he would agree that it is not enough to want to solve ecocide with more sociocide, nor the other way round. New constitutive frames are needed globally and locally, and we, as humanity, have all the knowledge and skills to create them.

What is missing is a strong *cogitosphere*, strong enough to bring about appropriate action. We, the species *Homo sapiens*, even though we live in a historical moment that is unparalleled in terms of crises, it is at the same time unparalleled in terms of opportunity. History is not a predetermined process with humans as helpless victims. For the first time in our history, we, humanity, are in a position to succeed in bringing about the kinds of adaptations that can lead us out of our cascading crises. These adaptations are long overdue, overdue since millennia, only that our forebears did not yet have the tools we have.

In all of my work, I emphasise that 'we have access to a much more comprehensive knowledge base about the universe and our place in it than even our grandparents had'. ⁸⁰ The perspective of the astronaut on our Blue Marble is unique, none of our ancestors could see it. ⁸¹ Present-day generations have the invaluable privilege to see our planet from outside and thus experiencing the *overview effect*. ⁸² Our forebears did not have the opportunity to understand as graphically as we do that we humans are *one* species living on *one* tiny planet. Present-day generations are in the unique position to embrace *biophilia*, ⁸³ which means to feel 'the ecology of the living', it means to understand that we live in *one* circumscribed *biopoetic* space that all beings share. ⁸⁴

Present generations have the unique and unprecedented privilege of possessing 'all the knowledge and skills required to build mutual trust and solidarity at a global scale. We have everything needed to humanise globalisation by reaping the benefits that the global ingathering of humanity offers'. 85

Spirituality has always had the potential to energise people and to bring them together. Throughout history, this has often been abused as a tool in the service of competition for domination. Nowadays, it is a popular tool for money-making. What the world needs now is

to unite under the umbrella of the spirituality of dignity. Singing in a choir brings people of all walks together as equals in dignity, ⁸⁶ and the global village now waits for its citizens to form a global choir of dignity.

I have introduced the term *dignism* (a combination of 'dignity' and 'ism') as an alternative to the traditional labels of capitalism, socialism, or communism, not least because I have noticed that these terms frequently provoke strong emotional responses. Over time, they have become loaded phrases associated with historical humiliations. Interestingly, those who react most strongly often have the least understanding of their true meanings. My goal is therefore to move away from terminology that elicits hot feelings and instead focus on positive, forward-looking goals that can bring people together.

Dignism describes a world where every new-born finds space and is nurtured to unfold their highest and best, embedded in a social context of loving appreciation and connection, where the carrying capacity of the planet guides the ways in which everyone's basic needs are met. It is a world where *unity in diversity* reigns, where we unite in respecting human dignity and celebrating diversity, where we prevent unity from devolving into oppressive uniformity, and keep diversity from sliding into hostile division. Dignism means ending past cycles of humiliation and preventing new ones from emerging. Dignism means loving care for the common good of all of humanity as co-inhabitants of one single finite habitat. Dignism weaves together all dignifying aspects of all the world's cultural traditions into systems that protect the dignity of all living beings in our global village. ⁸⁷

For a long time, I have been anticipating a new era of inspirational leadership and activism — like the impact that Eleanor Roosevelt had — to invigorate a global movement focused on promoting human dignity worldwide — nothing less than a worldwide *dignity movement*. 88 If we want to address the complex, multifaceted challenges that confront us, only a limited window of opportunity stands open for humanity to mitigate impending catastrophes. As the world faces interlocking and escalating challenges, from an accelerating loss of biodiversity to climate change and a rise in armed conflicts, a profound and rapid shift in our mindsets is necessary to foster global unity in respect for local diversity, a fundamental shift in our collective consciousness and actions. The central challenge we face elicits a fundamental question that humanity must collectively grapple with and find solutions to, across all cultures and languages. I ask this question at the end of my book on global solidarity:

'How must we, humankind, arrange our affairs on this planet so that dignified life on this planet will be possible in the long term?' 89

References

Anderson, Walter Truett. We the Planet: Evolutionary Governance and Biophilia in the Anthropocene. Carlsbad, CA: Meridian International Institute, 2016.

Battle, Michael Jesse. *Reconciliation. The Ubuntu Theology of Desmond Tutu.* Cleveland, OH: Pilgrim Press, 1997.

Berlin, Isaiah. 'Positive Versus Negative Liberty'. In *Two Concepts of Liberty*. Oxford: Lecture delivered at Oxford University, 1958

——. *Two Concepts of Liberty*. Oxford: Clarendon, an Inaugural Lecture delivered before the University of Oxford on 31st October 1958, 1958.

. Four Essays on Liberty. New York: Oxford University Press, 1969.

Bourdieu, Pierre, and Jean-Claude Passeron. *Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture*. Translated by Richard Nice. 2nd ed. London: Sage. French original *La reproduction: Éléments pour une théorie du système d'enseignement*, Paris: Édition de Minuit, 1970, 1970/1990.

- Brosnan, Sarah F., and Frans B. M. de Waal. 'Evolution of Responses to (Un)Fairness'. *Science* 346, no. 6207 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251776.
- Buber, Martin. *I and Thou*. Translated by Ronald Gregor Smith. Edinburgh: Clark. German original *Ich und Du*, Leipzig: Insel Verlag, 1923., 1923/1937.
- Devitt-Lee, Adrian, Hongyan Wang, Jie Li, and Bruce Boghosian. 'A Nonstandard Description of Wealth Concentration in Large-Scale Economies'. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 78, no. 2 (2018): 996–1008. https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1119627.
- Eisler, Riane, and Douglas P. Fry. *Nurturing Our Humanity: How Domination and Partnership Shape Our Brains, Lives, and Future*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2019.
- Eisler, Riane Tennenhaus. *The Chalice and the Blade: Our History, Our Future.* London: Unwin Hyman, 1987.
- Falk, Armin, and Nora Szech. 'Morals and Markets'. *Science* 340, no. 6133 (2013): 707–11. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231566.
- Fischer, David Hackett. *Albion's Seed: Four British Folkways in America*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1989.
- Fiske, Alan Page. Structures of Social Life: The Four Elementary Forms of Human Relations— Communal Sharing, Authority Ranking, Equality Matching, Market Pricing. New York: Free Press, 1991.
- Fiske, Alan Page, and Walter Kintsch. 'The Four Elementary Forms of Sociality: Framework for a Unified Theory of Social Relations'. *Psychological Review* 99, no. 4 (1992): 689–723. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.99.4.689. www.sscnet.ucla.edu/anthro/faculty/fiske/pubs/Fiske_Four_Elementary_Forms_Sociality_1992.pd
 - www.sscnet.ucla.edu/anthro/faculty/fiske/pubs/Fiske_Four_Elementary_Forms_Sociality_1992.pd f.
- Fiske, Alan Page, and Nick Haslam. 'The Four Basic Social Bonds: Structures for Coordinating Interaction'. In *Interpersonal Cognition*, edited by Mark Baldwin, 267–98. New York: Guilford Press, 2005
- Fiske, Alan Page. 'Metarelational Models: Configurations of Social Relationships'. *European Journal of Social Psychology* 42, no. 1 (2012): 2–18. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.847.
- Fiske, Alan Page, Thomas W. Schubert, and Beate Seibt. "Kama Muta' or 'Being Moved by Love': A Bootstrapping Approach to the Ontology and Epistemology of an Emotion'. In *Universalism without Uniformity: Explorations in Mind and Culture*, edited by Julia Cassaniti and Usha Menon. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2016
- . 'The Best Loved Story of All Time: Overcoming All Obstacles to Be Reunited, Evoking Kama Muta'. *Evolutionary Studies in Imaginative Culture* 1, no. 2, Spring (2017): 67–70. https://doi.org/10.26613/esic.1.1.12 http://kamamutalab.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/bestlovedstory.pdf.
- Fromm, Erich. *The Art of Being*. New York: Continuum. A collection of chapters written between 1974 and 1976, 1974–1976/1992.
- Graeber, David. Debt: The First 5,000 Years. New York: Melville House, 2011.
- Harsvik, Wegard, and Ingvar Skjerve. *Homo Solidaricus: Et Oppgjør Med Myten Om Det Egoistiske Mennesket*. Oslo: Res publica, 2019.
- Higgins, Polly. Eradicating Ecocide: Exposing the Corporate and Political Practices Destroying the Planet and Proposing the Laws Needed to Eradicate Ecocide. 2nd ed. London: Shepheard Walwyn, 2016.
- Kant, Immanuel. *Grundlegung Zur Metaphysik Der Sitten*. Riga, Latvia: Johann Friedrich Hartknock, 1785.
- Karlberg, Michael Robert. *Reframing the Concept of Human Dignity*. Paper originally presented at the conference Reflections on Human Dignity at the University of Maryland, April 19, 2013, 2013.
- Kropotkin, Petr Alekseevich kniaz. *Conquest of Bread*. Project Gutenberg. First edition in French *La conquête du pain*. Paris: Tresse & Stock, 1892, 1892/2007.
- Lakoff, George P., and Mark L. Johnson. *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980.

- ——. Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought. New York: Basic Books, 1999.
- Lakoff, George P. *Whose Freedom? The Battle over America's Most Important Idea*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2006.
- Lappé, Frances Moore. Farming for a Small Planet: Agroecology Now. Boston, MA: Great Transition Initiative, 2016.
- Lindner, Evelin Gerda. *Humiliation in the Flesh. Honour Is 'Face'*, *Arrogance Is 'Nose up'*, *and Humiliation Is 'to Be Put Down'*. Oslo: University of Oslo, Department of Psychology, 2000.
- ——. *Making Enemies: Humiliation and International Conflict.* Westport, CT, London: Praeger Security International, Greenwood, 2006.
- ———. Emotion and Conflict: How Human Rights Can Dignify Emotion and Help Us Wage Good Conflict. Westport, CT, London: Praeger, Greenwood, 2009.
- Lindner, Evelin Gerda, and Desmond Tutu (Foreword). *Gender, Humiliation, and Global Security: Dignifying Relationships from Love, Sex, and Parenthood to World Affairs.* Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger, ABC-CLIO, 2010.
- Lindner, Evelin Gerda. *What About Dignism?*: Prepared for *The Journal of Globalisation for the Common Good (JGCG)*, co-editors Yahya Kamalipour and Kamran Mofid, 2011.
- ——. A Dignity Economy: Creating an Economy Which Serves Human Dignity and Preserves Our Planet. Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2012.
- ———. Honor, Humiliation, and Terror: An Explosive Mix and How We Can Defuse It with Dignity. Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2017.
- ———. From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity the Corona Pandemic as Opportunity in the Midst of Suffering. Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies. Reprinted in TRANSCEND Media Service in May 2020, and in InterViews: An Interdisciplinary Journal in Social Sciences in July 2020. Translated into German by Georg-Wilhelm Geckler, Von der Demütigung zur Würde: Für eine Zukunft der globalen Solidarität Die Corona-Pandemie als Chance in der Not. Translated into Spanish by Rocío Mieres, De la humillación a la dignidad: Por un futuro de solidaridad global. La pandemia del coronavirus como oportunidad en medio del sufrimiento, published in Limache, Chile: Chileufú: Casa de Dialogo, 2020.
- ———. From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity. First ed. Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022.
- ——. *A Global Life Design: Reflections and a Chronological Description since 2006.* Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies, 2024.
- Miller, Jean Baker. *Toward a New Psychology of Women*. 2nd ed. Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 1976/1986.
- Minnich, Elizabeth Kamarck. *The Evil of Banality on the Life and Death Importance of Thinking*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 2016.
- Næss, Arne. 'Through Spinoza to Mahayana Buddhism or through Mahayana Buddhism to Spinoza?'. In *Spinoza's Philosophy of Man: Proceedings of the Scandinavian Spinoza Symposium 1977*, edited by Jon Wetlesen. Oslo: University of Oslo Press, 1978
- Nozick, Robert. Anarchy, State, and Utopia. New York: Basic Books, 1974.
- Orr, Christopher J., Kaitlin Kish, and Bruce Jennings, eds. *Liberty and the Ecological Crisis: Freedom on a Finite Planet*. Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge, 2020.
- Pogge, Thomas W. World Poverty and Human Rights: Cosmopolitan Responsibilities and Reforms. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2008.
- Polanyi, Karl Paul, and Joseph E. Stiglitz (Foreword). *The Great Transformation: The Political and Economic Origins of Our Time*. 2nd ed. Boston, MA: Beacon Press. First published by Farrar and Rinehart, 1944, 1944/2001.
- Richards, Howard, and Gavin Andersson. *Economic Theory and Community Development: Why Putting Community First Is Essential to Our Survival.* Lake Oswego, OR: Dignity Press, 2022. Roach, Steven C. *Decency and Difference*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2019.

- Sa'dī. *The Gulistan, or Rose-Garden, of Shekh Muslihu'd-Din Sadi of Shiraz*. Translated by Edward Backhouse Eastwick. 2nd ed. London: Trübner, 1258/1880.
- Scheler, Max. 'Ordo Amoris [Logic of the Heart]'. In *Schriften Aus Dem Nachlaß, Band 1: Zur Ethik Und Erkenntnislehre*, edited by Maria Scheler. Bern, Switzerland: Francke Verlag, 1914–1916/1957
- Schumacher, Ernst Friedrich. *Small Is Beautiful: A Study of Economics as If People Mattered.* London: Blond and Briggs, 1973.
- Simão, Cláudia, and Beate Seibt. 'Gratitude Depends on the Relational Model of Communal Sharing'. [In eng]. *Public Library of Science (PLoS) ONE* 9, no. 1 (2014): e86158–e58. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086158. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3899114/.
- Sinclair, Upton. *I, Candidate for Governor: And How I Got Licked*. Reprint ed. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1935/1994.
- Stevens, Stanley Smith. 'On the Theory of Scales of Measurement'. *Science* 103, no. 2684 (1946): 677–80. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.103.2684.677.
- Tönnies, Ferdinand. *Community and Association*. Translated by Charles P. Loomis. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul. German original *Gemeinschaft und Gesellschaft*, Leipzig, Berlin: Fues Verlag, 1887, 1887/1955.
- Virilio, Paul. *Speed and Politics*. Translated by Mark Polizzotti. Los Angeles, CA: Semiotext(e). French original *Vitesse et Politique*, Paris: Édition Galilée, 1977, 1977/2006.
- Weber-Guskar, Eva. Würde Als Haltung. Eine Philosophische Untersuchung Zum Begriff Der Menschenwürde. Münster, Germany: mentis, 2016.
- Weber, Andreas. *Biopoetics: Towards an Existential Ecology*. Vol. 14, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer, 2016.
- Wetz, Franz Josef. *Die Rebellion Der Selbstachtung: Gegen Demütigung*. Aschaffenburg, Germany: Alibri. 2014.
- White, Frank. *The Overview Effect: Space Exploration and Human Evolution*. 3rd ed. Reston, VA: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2014. doi:10.2514/4.103223.
- Yunkaporta, Tyson. *Sand Talk: How Indigenous Thinking Can Save the World*. New York: HarperOne. Originally published as *Sand Talk* by The Text Publishing Company, Australia, 2019, 2019/2020
- Zickfeld, Janis Heinrich. *Heartwarming Closeness: Being Moved Induces Communal Sharing and Increases Feelings of Warmth*. Oslo: University of Oslo, Department of Psychology, master thesis, 2015.

- ¹ This article came into being due to the encouragement offered by His Royal Highness Prince El Hassan bin Talal of Jordan. The author wishes to express her deep gratitude and admiration for the Royal Institute for Inter-Faith Studies (RIIFS) that he founded. The Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies network and its World Dignity University Initiative are deeply thankful to His Royal Highness and RIIFS for convening the annual dignity conference of in 2022. See https://humiliationstudies.org/whoweare/annualmeeting/37.php. The author would like to thank also Renée Hattar and Sandy Qaqish for their immensely dignifying and dignified leadership. This article is adapted from Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity*, First ed. (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022).
- ² The Norwegian philosopher Arne Næss developed the notion of the 'depth of intention', the 'depth of questioning' or 'deepness of answers'. Greater depth means continuing to ask questions at the point at which others stop asking. Næss wrote 'our depth of intention improves only slowly over years of study. There is an abyss of depth in everything fundamental', Arne Næss, 'Through Spinoza to Mahayana Buddhism or through Mahayana Buddhism to Spinoza?', in *Spinoza's Philosophy of Man: Proceedings of the Scandinavian Spinoza Symposium 1977*, ed. Jon Wetlesen (Oslo: University of Oslo Press, 1978), 143.
- ³ Winning the Human Race Against Time: A Conversation with HRH Prince El Hassan bin Talal of

Jordan, Wilson Center, Washington, DC, 28th June 2022, www.wilsoncenter.org/event/winning-human-race-against-time-conversation-hrh-prince-el-hassan-bin-talal-jordan.

- ⁴ As a term, *ecocide* dates to 1970, when Arthur Galston, an American botanist, used it to describe the appalling effects of Agent Orange on the vast forests of Vietnam and Cambodia. See also Polly Higgins, *Eradicating Ecocide: Exposing the Corporate and Political Practices Destroying the Planet and Proposing the Laws Needed to Eradicate Ecocide*, 2nd ed. (London: Shepheard Walwyn, 2016).
- ⁵ In a personal message to the author on 19th May 2020, Prince El Hassan bin Talal suggested the term *cogitocide*. He proposed the term *cogitosphere* in his Opening Address to the 2004 Annual Conference of the Club of Rome 'On limits to ignorance: The challenge of informed humanity', 11th–12th October 2004 in Helsinki, Finland. His address was titled *The Challenge of Informed Humanity: From 'Infosphere' to 'Cogitosphere'*.
- ⁶ Cogito, ergo sum is a well-known philosophical proposition by philosopher René Descartes, meaning 'I think, therefore I am'. Cogito, ergo sum originally appeared in French as je pense, donc je suis in 1637, in Descartes' oeuvre Discours de la méthode. Descartes intended to say dubito, ergo cogito, ergo sum, or 'I doubt, therefore I think, therefore I am'.
- ⁷ The Challenge of Informed Humanity: From 'Infosphere' to 'Cogitosphere', Prince El Hassan bin Talal's Opening Address to the 2004 Annual Conference of the Club of Rome 'On Limits to Ignorance: The Challenge of Informed Humanity', 11th–12th October 2004 in Helsinki, Finland.
- ⁸ Cultural theorist Paul Virilio is the originator of the concept of *dromology*, 'the science of speed'. Virilio points at a media-driven acceleration that results in an infosphere that diminishes and engulfs the political subject, the accountable leader as much as the participatory citizen and the deliberative process itself. See Paul Virilio, *Speed and Politics*, trans. Mark Polizzotti (Los Angeles, CA: Semiotext(e). French original *Vitesse et Politique*, Paris: Édition Galilée, 1977, 1977/2006).
- ⁹ Elizabeth Kamarck Minnich, *The Evil of Banality on the Life and Death Importance of Thinking* (Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 2016), 9.
- ¹⁰ This article is adapted from Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity*, First ed. (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022). See also Evelin Gerda Lindner, *Making Enemies: Humiliation and International Conflict*, ed. Stout Chris (Westport, CT, London: Praeger Security International, Greenwood, 2006); Evelin Gerda Lindner, *Emotion and Conflict: How Human Rights Can Dignify Emotion and Help Us Wage Good Conflict* (Westport, CT, London: Praeger, Greenwood, 2009); Evelin Gerda Lindner and Desmond Tutu (Foreword), *Gender, Humiliation, and Global Security: Dignifying Relationships from Love, Sex, and Parenthood to World Affairs* (Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger, ABC-CLIO, 2010), Evelin Gerda Lindner, *A Dignity Economy: Creating an Economy Which Serves Human Dignity and Preserves Our Planet* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2012), and Evelin Gerda Lindner, *Honor, Humiliation, and Terror: An Explosive Mix and How We Can Defuse It with Dignity* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2017).
- ¹¹ This section is adapted from Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022), chapter 6.
- ¹² Alan Page Fiske, 'Metarelational Models: Configurations of Social Relationships', *European Journal of Social Psychology* 42, no. 1 (2012), https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.847, 9.
- ¹³ See an introduction on www.sscnet.ucla.edu/anthro/faculty/fiske/relmodov.htm. See also Alan Page Fiske, *Structures of Social Life: The Four Elementary Forms of Human Relations Communal Sharing, Authority Ranking, Equality Matching, Market Pricing* (New York: Free Press, 1991).
- ¹⁴ There is maybe also a fifth basic type or scale, a discrete interval scale that is intermediate between interval and ratio scales, which, Fiske suggests, could inspire also future research on forms of sociality as it might represent a fifth fundamental model. See Alan Page Fiske and Walter Kintsch, 'The Four Elementary Forms of Sociality: Framework for a Unified Theory of Social Relations', *Psychological Review* 99, no. 4 (1992), https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.99.4.689,

 $www.sscnet.ucla.edu/anthro/faculty/fiske/pubs/Fiske_Four_Elementary_Forms_Sociality_1992.pdf, 692.$

- ¹⁵ Psychologist Stanley Smith Stevens, 'On the Theory of Scales of Measurement', *Science* 103, no. 2684 (1946), https://doi.org/10.1126/science.103.2684.677, See also www.mymarketresearchmethods.com/types-of-data-nominal-ordinal-interval-ratio/.
- ¹⁶ Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022), chapter 10.
- ¹⁷ Alan Page Fiske and Nick Haslam, 'The Four Basic Social Bonds: Structures for Coordinating Interaction', in *Interpersonal Cognition*, ed. Mark Baldwin (New York: Guilford Press, 2005), 268.
- ¹⁸ Ibid.
- 19 Ibid.
- ²⁰ Ibid.
- ²¹ Ibid.
- ²² Ibid.
- ²³ Fiske, 'Metarelational Models: Configurations of Social Relationships', 9.
- ²⁴ See Evelin Gerda Lindner, *The Concept of Human Dignity* (Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies, 2006).
- ²⁵ EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, https://fra.europa.eu/en/eu-charter/article/1-human-dignity.
- ²⁶ 'Chile, the Revolution of the Indignados: The Dignity of a People Fighting against a Lacerating Inequality', by Fernando Ayala, *Wall Street International Magazine*, 11th January 2020, https://wsimag.com/economy-and-politics/60151-chile-the-revolution-of-the-indignados.
- ²⁷ See www.elhassanbintalal.jo/en-us/Human-Dignity.
- ²⁸ Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022), chapter 10.
- ²⁹ Linda Hartling in a personal communication, 5th October 2020. See also 'Selectively unwalking the path of history', by Howard Richards, Editorial #661, *TRANSCEND Media Service*, 19th October 2020, www.transcend.org/tms/2020/10/selectively-unwalking-the-path-of-history/.
- ³⁰ See a similar phrase in the New Testament, Acts of the Apostles 4:32–35: 32 'distribution was made unto every man according as he had need' (διεδίδετο δὲ ἐκάστῷ καθότι ἄν τις χρείαν εἶχεν). This phrase was used to describe the communal lifestyle without individual possession of the community of believers in Jerusalem. The phrase, 'From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs' was in use later, among others, in early socialist movements.
- ³¹ Cláudia Simão and Beate Seibt, 'Gratitude Depends on the Relational Model of Communal Sharing', *Public Library of Science (PLoS) ONE* 9, no. 1 (2014), https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086158, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3899114/.
- ³² Philosopher Max Max Scheler, 'Ordo Amoris [Logic of the Heart]', in *Schriften Aus Dem Nachlaß*, *Band 1: Zur Ethik Und Erkenntnislehre*, ed. Maria Scheler (Bern, Switzerland: Francke Verlag, 1914–1916/1957), argued that the human being, before she can be an *ens cogitans* ('a thinking being') or an *ens volens* ('a volitional being'), is an *ens amans*, a 'loving being'. See Scheler, 'Ordo Amoris [Logic of the Heart]'.
- ³³ Michael Robert Karlberg, *Reframing the Concept of Human Dignity* (Paper originally presented at the conference Reflections on Human Dignity at the University of Maryland, April 19, 2013, 2013).
- ³⁴ Jean Baker Miller, *Toward a New Psychology of Women*, 2nd ed. (Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 1976/1986).
- ³⁵ See Riane Tennenhaus Eisler, *The Chalice and the Blade: Our History, Our Future* (London:

Unwin Hyman, 1987). Her most recent books are Riane Tennenhaus Eisler, *The Real Wealth of Nations: Creating a Caring Economics* (San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler, 2007), and Riane Eisler and Douglas P. Fry, *Nurturing Our Humanity: How Domination and Partnership Shape Our Brains, Lives, and Future* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2019).e

- ³⁹ See, among others, *Indigenous Peoples and Climate Change: Emerging Research on Traditional Knowledge and Livelihoods*, edited by Ariell Ahearn, Martin Oelz and Rishabh Kumar Dhir, International Labour Organization (ILO), 16th April 2019, www.ilo.org/global/topics/indigenous-tribal/publications/WCMS_686780/lang--en/index.htm.
- ⁴⁰ See, among others, Tyson Yunkaporta, *Sand Talk: How Indigenous Thinking Can Save the World* (New York: HarperOne. Originally published as *Sand Talk* by The Text Publishing Company, Australia, 2019, 2019/2020). I thank Renée Hattar for making me aware of this book. See also the work of archaeologist Ingrid Fuglestvedt referred to throughout Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity*.
- ⁴¹ 'For Life to Continue on Earth, Every Day Must Be Indigenous Peoples' Day', by Four Arrows (Wahinkpe Topa, aka Donald Trent Jacobs), and Darcia Narváez, *Truthout*, 13th October 2019, https://truthout.org/articles/for-life-to-continue-on-earth-every-day-must-be-indigenous-peoples-day/?eType=EmailBlastContent&eId=77eb805f-119f-4887-b0f3-0e978fd87d6b.
- ⁴² 'The Conquistador Mindset: Working to Unlearn Domination', by Darcia Narvaez, *The Nested Pathway*, 29th April 2024.
- ⁴³ 'Freedom for the wolves', Isaiah Berlin, *Four Essays on Liberty* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1969), p. xlv. See also Isaiah Berlin, 'Positive Versus Negative Liberty', in *Two Concepts of Liberty* (Oxford: Lecture delivered at Oxford University, 1958); Isaiah Berlin, *Two Concepts of Liberty* (Oxford: Clarendon, an Inaugural Lecture delivered before the University of Oxford on 31st October 1958, 1958). The 2017 documentary film *Freedom for the wolf* by Rupert Russell takes its title from Isaiah Berlin. It is about the idea of freedom and how it can be hollowed out by the 'wolves'. At the same time, people all over the globe from Tunisian rappers to Indian comedians, from America's #BlackLivesMatter activists to Hong Kong's students struggle to regain freedom for the 'sheep'. See www.freedomforthewolf.com. I thank Nicklas Viki for making me aware of this film.

French philosopher Denis Diderot (1713–1784) had a lifelong preoccupation with questions of life, liberty, and purpose, and his definition of liberty as 'freedom to do whatever the law does not forbid' has deeply influenced the American view on freedom.

Historian David Hackett David Hackett Fischer, *Albion's Seed: Four British Folkways in America* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1989), differentiated four 'British folkways in America' and their radically different notions of liberty, namely, the Puritan, Cavalier, Quaker, and Scots-Irish notions. The values of the Virginia Cavaliers 'caused the unusual brutality of the American system of Black enslavement', as for them, 'Freedom was defined by what it wasn't. It wasn't slavery. It was the freedom to enslave. It was a freedom, granted to the plantation masters, to indulge themselves, gamble and debauch'. See 'Joe Klein explains how the history of four centuries ago still shapes American culture and politics', by Joe Klein, *New York Times*, 4th October 2021,

www.nytimes.com/2021/10/04/books/review/joe-klein-explains-how-the-history-of-four-centuries-ago-still-shapes-american-culture-and-politics.html. I thank Linda Hartling for making me aware of this article.

See a critical discussion in *Whose freedom?* by cognitive linguist and philosopher George P. Lakoff, *Whose Freedom? The Battle over America's Most Important Idea* (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2006), who surveys the political landscape in the U.S.A. and offers a map of the

³⁶ Ibid.

³⁷ 'Dollars to Doughnuts: The Shape of a New Economy', Kate Raworth, author of *Doughnut Economics*, talks with Tellus Senior Fellow Allen White, *Great Transition Initiative*, December 2019, https://greattransition.org/publication/dollars-doughnuts?mc_cid=21353b6809&mc_eid=b420ad9e5a.

³⁸ Karlberg, Reframing the Concept of Human Dignity.

'Republican battle plan' that has 'captured the hearts and minds of Americans', showing how progressives may reinvigorate this 'most beloved of American political ideas'. See the description of Lakoff's book:

Since September 11, 2001, the Bush administration has relentlessly invoked the word 'freedom'. Al-Qaeda attacked us because 'they hate our freedom'. The U.S. can strike pre-emptively because 'freedom is on the march'. Social security should be privatised in order to protect individual freedoms. The 2005 presidential inaugural speech was a kind of crescendo: the words 'freedom', 'free', and 'liberty', were used forty-nine times in President Bush's twenty-minute speech.

See also Christopher J. Orr, Kaitlin Kish, and Bruce Jennings, eds., *Liberty and the Ecological Crisis: Freedom on a Finite Planet* (Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge, 2020).

Minarchism — min(imal) + -archy (government) + -ism (system) = 'system of minimal government' and maximum freedom — became a popularised concept in the 1960s through the American philosopher Robert Robert Nozick, Anarchy, State, and Utopia (New York: Basic Books, 1974), and had nineteenth-century Britain as main proponent. See also note 2206 in chapter 7 about the difference between the Anglo-Saxon realm and continental Europe.

Professor of mathematics Bruce Boghosian and his colleagues used a mathematical model to mimic a simplified version of the free market and found that wealth becomes increasingly more concentrated and inequality grows until almost all assets are held by an extremely small percentage of people. Therefore, free markets cannot be stable without redistribution mechanisms, indicating that reality on the ground manifests the opposite of what market fundamentalism teaches. See, for instance, Adrian Devitt-Lee et al., 'A Nonstandard Description of Wealth Concentration in Large-Scale Economies', *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 78, no. 2 (2018), https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1119627. See an accessible summary in 'The mathematics of inequality', by Taylor McNeil, *Tufts Now*, 12th October 2017, http://now.tufts.edu/articles/mathematics-inequality:

While economists use math for their models, they seek to show that an economy governed by supply and demand will result in a steady state or equilibrium, while Boghosian's efforts 'don't try to engineer a supply-demand equilibrium, and we don't find one', he said... Over time, they added three parameters to the model, he said. 'One is for how redistributive the society is, another is for how biased the transactions are in favour of wealthier agents, what we call the wealth-attained advantage, and the third one measures how far "underwater" the poorest agents are', meaning the extent to which their debts exceed the value of their assets, like real estate. It's easy to imagine how wealth-attained advantage works in real life. 'The people with that advantage receive better returns on their investments, lower interest rates on loans, and better financial advice', said Boghosian. 'Conversely, as Barbara Ehrenreich famously observed, it is expensive to be poor. If you are working two jobs, you don't have time to shop for the best bargains. If you can't afford the security deposit demanded by most landlords, you may end up staying in a motel at inflated prices'.

See also 'It is expensive to be poor', by Barbara Ehrenreich, *The Atlantic*, 13th January 2014, www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2014/01/it-is-expensive-to-be-poor/282979/.

⁴⁴ The opposition between *substantivist* and *formalist* economic models was proposed by Karl Polanyi in 1944, see Karl Paul Polanyi and Joseph E. Stiglitz (Foreword), *The Great Transformation: The Political and Economic Origins of Our Time*, 2nd ed. (Boston, MA: Beacon Press. First published by Farrar and Rinehart, 1944, 1944/2001).

⁴⁵ Petr Alekseevich kniaz Kropotkin, *Conquest of Bread* (Project Gutenberg. First edition in French *La conquête du pain*. Paris: Tresse & Stock, 1892, 1892/2007). He suggested that no preferential distribution, no pricing or monetary exchange should stand in the way of everyone receiving what they need from the social product. See also 'How LeftTube Is Rebranding Liberal Philosophy: A Group of Youtubers Are Countering Toxic, Far-Right Discourse Online by Stealing Their Strategies', by John Bogna, *OneZero*, 25th September 2019, https://onezero.medium.com/how-lefttube-is-rebranding-liberal-philosophy-de945a73cfc2.

⁴⁶ Ferdinand Tönnies (1855–1936) was a major contributor to sociological theory and field studies,

best known for his distinction between two types of social groups — *Gemeinschaft* and *Gesellschaft*. He explains that community is based on family life, rests on harmony, and is developed and ennobled by folkways, morals, and religion, with morality being an expression of religious beliefs and forces, intertwined with family spirit and folkways. See Ferdinand Tönnies, *Community and Association*, trans. Charles P. Loomis (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul. German original *Gemeinschaft und Gesellschaft*, Leipzig, Berlin: Fues Verlag, 1887, 1887/1955).

- ⁴⁷ See, for instance, Michael Jesse Battle, *Reconciliation. The Ubuntu Theology of Desmond Tutu* (Cleveland, OH: Pilgrim Press, 1997).
- ⁴⁸ Steven C. Roach, *Decency and Difference* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2019), 15. Steven Roach is an expert in international relations who has looked deeply into the notion of decency in politics.
- ⁴⁹ Ernst Friedrich Schumacher, *Small Is Beautiful: A Study of Economics as If People Mattered* (London: Blond and Briggs, 1973), chapter three: The role of economics.
- ⁵⁰ Scheler, 'Ordo Amoris [Logic of the Heart]'.
- ⁵¹ See Wegard Harsvik and Ingvar Skjerve, *Homo Solidaricus: Et Oppgjør Med Myten Om Det Egoistiske Mennesket* (Oslo: Res publica, 2019).
- ⁵² Martin Buber, *I and Thou*, trans. Ronald Gregor Smith (Edinburgh: Clark. German original *Ich und Du*, Leipzig: Insel Verlag, 1923., 1923/1937).
- ⁵³ See Franz Josef Wetz, *Die Rebellion Der Selbstachtung: Gegen Demütigung* (Aschaffenburg, Germany: Alibri, 2014).
- ⁵⁴ See Eva Weber-Guskar, Würde Als Haltung. Eine Philosophische Untersuchung Zum Begriff Der Menschenwürde (Münster, Germany: mentis, 2016).
- ⁵⁵ Psychotherapist Carol Smaldino uses the phrase *intrinsic pride* in 'Addressing the "Toxins in Our Hearts": A Conversation with Mary Gordon, Founder of Roots of Empathy', *Huffington Post*, 21st December 2017, www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/addressing-the-toxins-in-our-hearts-a-conversation_us_5a3c7b0ce4b0d86c803c70a0.
- ⁵⁶ See Evelin Gerda Lindner, *Humiliation in the Flesh. Honour Is 'Face'*, *Arrogance Is 'Nose up'*, *and Humiliation Is 'to Be Put Down'* (Oslo: University of Oslo, Department of Psychology, 2000), based on George P. Lakoff and Mark L. Johnson, *Metaphors We Live By* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980); George P. Lakoff and Mark L. Johnson, *Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought* (New York: Basic Books, 1999). See also chapter 4 in Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity*.
- ⁵⁷ See also *Dignity Is a Vital Force*, by Beth Boynton, 2019, www.confidentvoices.com/2019/04/23/dignity-is-a-vital-force-medical-improv-holds-the-key/.
- ⁵⁸ Alan Page Fiske, Thomas W. Schubert, and Beate Seibt, "Kama Muta' or 'Being Moved by Love': A Bootstrapping Approach to the Ontology and Epistemology of an Emotion', in *Universalism without Uniformity: Explorations in Mind and Culture*, ed. Julia Cassaniti and Usha Menon (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2016), 1–2. See also Alan Page Fiske, Thomas W. Schubert, and Beate Seibt, 'The Best Loved Story of All Time: Overcoming All Obstacles to Be Reunited, Evoking Kama Muta', *Evolutionary Studies in Imaginative Culture* 1, no. 2, Spring (2017), https://doi.org/10.26613/esic.1.1.12 http://kamamutalab.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/bestlovedstory.pdf.
- ⁵⁹ See Janis Heinrich Zickfeld, *Heartwarming Closeness: Being Moved Induces Communal Sharing and Increases Feelings of Warmth* (Oslo: University of Oslo, Department of Psychology, master thesis, 2015).
- ⁶⁰ See the work of primatologist and ethologist Frans de Waal, who has studied the phenomenon of *inequity aversion*, where he proposes that it arose in humans and other species to make cooperation possible through reinforcing social contracts founded on fairness. If the social contract is broken, the

- unfairness elicits a strong sense of disgust, leading to the punishment of the violator. See Sarah F. Brosnan and Frans B. M. de Waal, 'Evolution of Responses to (Un)Fairness', *Science* 346, no. 6207 (2014), https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251776.
- ⁶¹ Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022), p. 126.
- ⁶² Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022), chapter 10.
- ⁶³ Evelin Gerda Lindner, *Letter to My Father / Brief an Meinen Vater / Lettre À Mon Père / Brev Til Min Far* (Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies, 2022).
- ⁶⁴ See, among others, 'Caring, Not Competing: The Meaning and Relevance of Indigenous Economic Theory', by Ronald L. Trosper, *Native Science Report*, March 2019, https://nativesciencereport.org/2019/03/caring-not-competing/#more-4470. See also Yunkaporta, *Sand Talk: How Indigenous Thinking Can Save the World*.
- ⁶⁵ Market interaction erodes moral values, this is the result of experiments conducted by economists Armin Falk and Nora Szech, 'Morals and Markets', *Science* 340, no. 6133 (2013), https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231566.
- ⁶⁶ For 'money-based ties', see Frances Moore Lappé, *Farming for a Small Planet: Agroecology Now* (Boston, MA: Great Transition Initiative, 2016).
- ⁶⁷ Upton Sinclair, *I, Candidate for Governor: And How I Got Licked*, Reprint ed. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1935/1994), 109.
- ⁶⁸ Humanist philosopher Erich Fromm, *The Art of Being*, ed. Rainer Funk (New York: Continuum. A collection of chapters written between 1974 and 1976, 1974–1976/1992), 4.
- ⁶⁹ Indigenous communities practice mutual sharing and *giving forward* rather than *exchange*. See David Graeber, *Debt: The First 5,000 Years* (New York: Melville House, 2011).
- ⁷⁰ See, among others, *The World's Broken Workplace*, by Jim Clifton, Gallup, 13th June 2017, https://news.gallup.com/opinion/chairman/212045/world-broken-workplace.aspx.
- ⁷¹ Immanuel Kant, *Grundlegung Zur Metaphysik Der Sitten* (Riga, Latvia: Johann Friedrich Hartknock, 1785), chapter 1.
- ⁷² Lindner, From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity, p. 386. See also Lindner, A Dignity Economy: Creating an Economy Which Serves Human Dignity and Preserves Our Planet. See also Howard Richards and Gavin Andersson, Economic Theory and Community Development: Why Putting Community First Is Essential to Our Survival (Lake Oswego, OR: Dignity Press, 2022).
- ⁷³ See Evelin Gerda Lindner, *A Global Life Design: Reflections and a Chronological Description since 2006* (Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies, 2024).
- ⁷⁴ Consider 'Ideas for Action' at https://humiliationstudies.org/intervention/intervention.php.
- ⁷⁵ 'The End of Poverty?' by Thomas Pogge, *The Mark News*, 7th February 2016, www.themarknews.com/2016/02/07/the-end-of-poverty/. See also Thomas W. Pogge, *World Poverty and Human Rights: Cosmopolitan Responsibilities and Reforms* (Cambridge: Polity Press, 2008).
- ⁷⁶ See Pierre Bourdieu and Jean-Claude Passeron, *Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture*, trans. Richard Nice, 2nd ed. (London: Sage. French original *La reproduction: Éléments pour une théorie du système d'enseignement*, Paris: Édition de Minuit, 1970, 1970/1990).
- ⁷⁷ 'Two Necessary and Sufficient Principles', by Howard Richards, *TRANSCEND Media Service* Editorial #596, 22nd July 2019, www.transcend.org/tms/2019/07/two-necessary-and-sufficient-principles/.
- ⁷⁸ World Dignity University initiative (WDUi), www.worlddignityuniversity.org.

- ⁷⁹ Sa'dī, *The Gulistan, or Rose-Garden, of Shekh Muslihu'd-Din Sadi of Shiraz*, trans. Edward Backhouse Eastwick, 2nd ed. (London: Trübner, 1258/1880), viii.
- ⁸⁰ Lindner, From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity, p. 392.
- ⁸¹ Space Exploration A Powerful Symbol of Global Cooperation, NASA's Jim Zimmerman interviewed by Susan T. Coleman in the Peacebuilding Podcast, 13th December 2016, http://us11.campaign-archive1.com/?u=e5c2110f5cc4fe346c79bf3d1&id=06298a46ca&e=e7c4dd8362.
- ⁸² Frank White, *The Overview Effect: Space Exploration and Human Evolution*, 3rd ed. (Reston, VA: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2014).
- ⁸³ Walter Truett Anderson, *We the Planet: Evolutionary Governance and Biophilia in the Anthropocene* (Carlsbad, CA: Meridian International Institute, 2016).
- ⁸⁴ Philosopher and biologist Andreas Weber developed a creative ecology of the living a *biopoetics* explaining why mind and life are co-extensive. See Andreas Weber, *Biopoetics: Towards an Existential Ecology*, vol. 14 (Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer, 2016).
- 85 Lindner, From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity, p. 392.
- ⁸⁶ Dorothee Densow in the 37th Dignity Conference in Amman, Jordan, 5th–7th September 2022.
- ⁸⁷ I began developing this definition in Evelin Gerda Lindner, *What About Dignism?* (Prepared for *The Journal of Globalisation for the Common Good (JGCG)*, co-editors Yahya Kamalipour and Kamran Mofid, 2011), progressively developing and refining it since.
- 88 See Evelin Gerda Lindner, From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity the Corona Pandemic as Opportunity in the Midst of Suffering (Human Dignity and Humiliation Studies. Reprinted in TRANSCEND Media Service in May 2020, and in InterViews: An Interdisciplinary Journal in Social Sciences in July 2020. Translated into German by Georg-Wilhelm Geckler, Von der Demütigung zur Würde: Für eine Zukunft der globalen Solidarität Die Corona-Pandemie als Chance in der Not. Translated into Spanish by Rocío Mieres, De la humiliación a la dignidad: Por un futuro de solidaridad global. La pandemia del coronavirus como oportunidad en medio del sufrimiento, published in Limache, Chile: Chileufú: Casa de Dialogo, 2020).
- ⁸⁹ Evelin Gerda Lindner, *From Humiliation to Dignity: For a Future of Global Solidarity* (Lake Oswego, OR: World Dignity University Press, 2022), p. 431.